

Interview summary form: *Qualitative Researcher Journeys*

Name: Roger XXXXXX

Date: 19 May 21

Interviewer: CAG

Ensure every participant is aware of:

- Purpose of research
- Interview to be recorded
- Transcript to be sent within 3 weeks and acknowledged within 4 weeks
- Possibility of emotional triggers

Atmospherics:

A very agreeable session. Roger is an easy person to talk to and needed little steer or encouragement from me.

Pointers for transcription or analysis: Themes that I perceive as common included that he thinks his PhD wasn't very good, but it doesn't matter. His mum thought of research as not 'a proper job'.

Gift giving and becoming an insider 'the biscuit lady', invisibility in ethnography.

He describes science as the 'baleful presence' in interdisciplinary research and its positioning as the senior discipline. Does part of the scepticism arise because by disposition clinicians are doers, and social science academics are frequently thinkers; Michel Caine 'hang-on everyone, let's think about this.'

He makes the point that what is more recent in his discussions with colleagues and this interview are likely to reflect the things that he has been thinking about or challenging him recently. He uses the term 'Recency' (is that a word?). In my other job we would call that 'currency'.

He uses the term honesty to yourself. Be yourself, rather than trying to be like a professor, who might want you to be more like them. Is this the same as being authentic?

Successful researchers are like entrepreneurs in that they are looking ahead for opportunities and don't expect success to come from single actions.

Additional comments: After the recording was turned off he joked that now was the time that he was going to tell all his interesting stories. We chatted about this for a bit, the ethical difficulties and the status of that as data. I can't recall if this was when we talked about this project as 'minimal risk', and how surprising what innocuous sounding thing can be a trigger. I suppose that's why we think in terms of low risk, not no risk. Then we just chatted about intellectual things like Jungian theories and the XXXX Institute.

Issues of anonymity and pseudonymisation: Name Roger. Blank out location names.

	No	Yes
Any advice sought by participant:	N	
Any additional questions:	No	Yes

Interest in further involvement – such as focus group:		Y
Verbally confirm consent:		Y